

DEC 15 2005

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OSCAR ROMERO-ALARCON; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-71534

Agency Nos. A28-513-891
A79-522-961
A79-522-962

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 5, 2005^{**}

Before: GOODWIN, TASHIMA and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Oscar Romero-Alarcon, his wife Nancy Lopez-Romero and their daughter,
Alejandra Romero-Lopez, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be
cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review of a Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order summarily affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying their applications for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's finding that none of the petitioners showed exceptional and extremely unusual hardship because it involves an exercise of discretion. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003).

The voluntary departure period was stayed, and that stay will expire upon issuance of the mandate. *See Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.